

h

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,654	10/18/2000	Stephen Wu	39389/CAG/B600	7167
7590 05/21/2004 CHRISTOPHER C. WINSLADE MCANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY 500 W. MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661			EXAMINER	
			MILORD, MARCEAU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2682	/ 3
cincado, ie	00001		DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

72-	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/692,654	WU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marceau Milord	2682					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI t, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 F	ebruary 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rs, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) Claim(s) 1-68 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>62-68</u> is/are objected to.	·_						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	phoney under do o.c.o.	110(a) (a) 51 (.).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority document		plication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	nty documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.					
Attachment(s)	<u></u>						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date					
 Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 15-20, 28-34, 47-49, 54-59, 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hessel et al (US Patent No 6343207 B1) in view of Coppola (US Patent No 6020783).

Regarding claims 1 and 3, Hessel et al discloses a circuit (figs 8-9), comprising: first and second digitally tunable filters (169 of fig. 8, 172 I and 172 Q of fig. 8; col. 10, line 60- col. 11, line 12; col. 2, lines 3-53); and control logic (174 I or 174 Q of fig. 8, 180 I or 180 Q of fig. 9; col. 11, lines 8-49; col. 6, lines 6-35; col. 9, line 8-31; col. 10, lines 20-50; col. 23, lines 1-25).

However, Hessel et al does not specifically disclose the feature of a control logic to digitally tune the first and second filters as a function of a first parameter of a first signal output from the first filter and a second parameter of a second signal output from the second filter.

Art Unit: 2682

On the other hand, Coppola, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a filter network

having the capability of establishing multiple, tunable notch frequencies. A notch filter path is established for each notch frequency and includes a bandpass filter and inverter. A filter path for

each undesired spectrum connects to the input terminal and includes a passive RF bandpass filter

at one of the different frequencies for receiving the input signal. An inverter shifts the phase of

the bandpass filter output by substantially 180 degrees. A combiner connects to the output for

receiving signals from the input terminal and each of the notch filter paths in parallel to produce

the filtered output at the output terminal. Furthermore, a mixer combines the input signal and a

signal from a variable frequency local oscillator to translate the undesired spectrum into the pass

band of the bandpass filter. An inverter receives the output from the bandpass filter. A second

mixer combines the frequency from the inverter and the local oscillator frequency to translate the

inverted spectrum from the bandpass filter to the frequency of the undesired spectrum (col. 2,

line 49- col. 3, line 44; col. 4, line 27- col. 6, line 65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of

Coppola to the system of Hessel in order to provide a notch frequency filter that operates over a

wide frequency range with optimal performance.

Regarding claim 2, Hessel et al as modified discloses a circuit (figs 8-9), wherein the first and second filters each comprises a polyphase filter (col. 11, lines 1-49; col. 23, lines 1-25; col. 25, line 59- col. 26, line 21).

Regarding claim 4, Hessel et al as modified discloses a circuit (figs 8-9), comprising a first signal strength indicator to determine the first parameter and a second signal strength

Art Unit: 2682

indicator to determine the second parameter (col. 9, lines 7-31; col. 10, line 20-col. 11, line 12; col. 15, line 43- col. 16, line 29).

Regarding claim 5, Hessel et al as modified discloses a circuit (figs 8-9), wherein the first parameter comprises a first signal suppression and the second parameter comprises a second signal suppression (col. 7, lines 18-49; col. 15, line 43- col. 16, line 29).

Regarding claim 6, Hessel et al as modified discloses a circuit (figs 8-9), comprising a comparator to compare the first signal suppression to the second signal suppression, the control logic (174 I or 174 Q of fig. 8, 180 I or 180 Q of fig. 9) digitally tuning the first filter if the first signal suppression is lower than the second signal suppression and digitally tuning the second filter if the second signal suppression is lower than the first signal suppression (col. 15, lines 5-65; col. 16, lines 1-29; col 17, lines 1-35).

Regarding claim 7, Hessel et al as modified discloses a circuit (figs 8-9), wherein the control logic digitally tunes each of the first and second filters by providing a first digital word to the first filter and a second digital word to the second filter (col. 21, line 52- col. 22, line 29; col. 23, lines 1-25).

Regarding claim 15, Hessel et al discloses a circuit (figs 8-9), comprising: first and second digitally tunable filters (169 of fig. 8, 172 I and 172 Q of fig. 8; col. 10, line 60-col. 11, line 12; col. 2, lines 3-53); and tuning means for digitally tuning the first and second filters (col. 11, lines 8-49; col. 6, lines 6-35; col. 9, line 8-31; col. 10, lines 20-50; col. 23, lines 1-25).

However, Hessel et al does not specifically disclose the feature of a tuning means for digitally tuning the first and second filters as a function of a first parameter of a first signal

Art Unit: 2682

output from the first filter and a second parameter of a second signal output from the second filter.

On the other hand, Coppola, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a filter network having the capability of establishing multiple, tunable notch frequencies. A notch filter path is established for each notch frequency and includes a bandpass filter and inverter. A filter path for each undesired spectrum connects to the input terminal and includes a passive RF bandpass filter at one of the different frequencies for receiving the input signal. An inverter shifts the phase of the bandpass filter output by substantially 180 degrees. A combiner connects to the output for receiving signals from the input terminal and each of the notch filter paths in parallel to produce the filtered output at the output terminal. Furthermore, a mixer combines the input signal and a signal from a variable frequency local oscillator to translate the undesired spectrum into the pass band of the bandpass filter. An inverter receives the output from the bandpass filter. A second mixer combines the frequency from the inverter and the local oscillator frequency to translate the inverted spectrum from the bandpass filter to the frequency of the undesired spectrum (col. 2, line 49- col. 3, line 44; col. 4, line 27- col. 6, line 65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Coppola to the system of Hessel in order to provide a notch frequency filter that operates over a wide frequency range with optimal performance.

Regarding claim 16, Hessel et al as modified discloses a circuit (figs 8-9), wherein the first and second filters each comprises a polyphase filter (col. 11, lines 1-49; col. 23, lines 1-25; col. 25, line 59- col. 26, line 21).

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 17, Hessel et al as modified discloses a circuit (figs 8-9), wherein the first and second filters each comprises a notch filter (col. 10, line 60- col. 11, line 35; col. 21, line 52- col. 22, line 29).

Regarding claim 18, Hessel et al as modified discloses a circuit (figs 8-9), wherein the first parameter comprises a first signal suppression and the second parameter comprises a second signal suppression, the tuning means further comprising means for determining the first signal strength of the first signal output from the first filter and means for determining the second signal strength of the second signal output from the second filter.

Regarding claim 19, Hessel et al as modified discloses a circuit (figs 8-9), wherein the tuning means further comprises means for comparing the first signal suppression with the second signal suppression, the tuning means digitally tuning the first filter if the first signal suppression is lower than the second signal suppression and digitally tuning the second filter if the second signal suppression is lower than the first signal suppression (col. 15, lines 5-65; col. 16, lines 1-29; col 17, lines 1-35).

Regarding claim 20, Hessel et al as modified discloses a circuit (figs 8-9wherein the tuning means digitally tunes each of the first and second filters by providing a first digital word to the first filter and a second digital word to the second filter (col. 21, line 52- col. 22, line 29; col. 23, lines 1-25).

Regarding claims 28 and 30, Hessel et al discloses a transceiver (figs. 1-2 and fig. 7; col. 6, lines 1-30), comprising: a circuit (figs 7-9), having first and second digitally tunable filters (169 of fig. 8, 172 I and 172 Q of fig. 8; col. 10, line 60- col. 11, line 12; col. 2, lines 3-53); and control logic (174 I or 174 Q of fig. 8, 180 I or 180 Q of fig. 9); and a digitally tunable

Art Unit: 2682

transceiver filter tuned by the tuning output of the control logic (col. 11, lines 8-49; col. 6, lines 6-35; col. 9, line 8-31; col. 10, lines 20-50; col. 23, lines 1-25.

However, Hessel et al does not specifically disclose the feature of a control logic having a tuning output to digitally tune the first and second filters as a function of a first parameter of a first signal output from the first filter and a second parameter of a second signal output from the second filter.

On the other hand, Coppola, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a filter network having the capability of establishing multiple, tunable notch frequencies. A notch filter path is established for each notch frequency and includes a bandpass filter and inverter. A filter path for each undesired spectrum connects to the input terminal and includes a passive RF bandpass filter at one of the different frequencies for receiving the input signal. An inverter shifts the phase of the bandpass filter output by substantially 180 degrees. A combiner connects to the output for receiving signals from the input terminal and each of the notch filter paths in parallel to produce the filtered output at the output terminal. Furthermore, a mixer combines the input signal and a signal from a variable frequency local oscillator to translate the undesired spectrum into the pass band of the bandpass filter. An inverter receives the output from the bandpass filter. A second mixer combines the frequency from the inverter and the local oscillator frequency to translate the inverted spectrum from the bandpass filter to the frequency of the undesired spectrum (col. 2, line 49- col. 3, line 44; col. 4, line 27- col. 6, line 65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Coppola to the system of Hessel in order to provide a notch frequency filter that operates over a wide frequency range with optimal performance.

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 29, Hessel et al as modified discloses a transceiver (figs. 1-2 and fig. 7; col. 6, lines 1-30), comprising: a circuit (figs 7-9), wherein the first and second filters each comprise a polyphase filter (col. 11, lines 1-49; col. 23, lines 1-25; col. 25, line 59- col. 26, line 21).

Regarding claim 31, Hessel et al as modified discloses a transceiver (figs. 1-2; col. 6, lines 1-30), comprising: a circuit (figs 7-9), comprising a first signal strength indicator to determine the first parameter and a second signal strength indicator to determine the second parameter (col. 9, lines 7-31; col. 10, line 20-col. 11, line 12; col. 15, line 43- col. 16, line 29).

Regarding claim 32, Hessel et al as modified discloses a transceiver (figs. 1-2; col. 6, lines 1-30), comprising: a circuit (figs 7-9), wherein the first parameter comprises a first signal suppression and the second parameter comprises a second signal suppression (col. 7, lines 18-49; col. 15, line 43- col. 16, line 29).

Regarding claim 33, Hessel et al as modified discloses a transceiver (figs. 1-2 and fig. 7; col. 6, lines 1-30), comprising: a circuit (figs 7-9), comprising a comparator to compare the first signal suppression to the second signal suppression, the control logic (174 I or 174 Q of fig. 8, 180 I or 180 Q of fig. 9) digitally tuning the first filter if the first signal suppression is lower than the second signal suppression and digitally tuning the second filter if the second signal suppression is lower than the first signal suppression (col. 15, lines 5-65; col. 16, lines 1-29; col 17, lines 1-35).

Regarding claim 34, Hessel et al as modified discloses a transceiver (figs. 1-2 and fig. 7; col. 6, lines 1-30), comprising: a circuit (figs 7-9), wherein the control logic (174 I or 174 Q of fig. 8, 180 I or 180 Q of fig. 9) digitally tunes each of the first and second filters by providing a

Art Unit: 2682

first digital word to the first filter and a second digital word to the second filter (col. 21, line 52-col. 22, line 29; col. 23, lines 1-25).

Regarding claim 47, Hessel et al discloses a circuit (figs 8-9), comprising: first and second digitally tunable filters each having a tuning input (169 of fig. 8, 172 I and 172 Q of fig. 8; col. 10, line 60- col. 11, line 12; col. 2, lines 3-53); a first signal strength indicator having an input coupled to the first filter, and an output; a second signal strength indicator having an input coupled to the second filter, and an output; a comparator having an input coupled to the output of the first and second signal strength indicators, and an output; and control logic (174 I or 174 Q of fig. 8, 180 I or 180 Q of fig. 9; col. 11, lines 8-49; col. 6, lines 6-35; col. 9, line 8-31; col. 10, lines 20-50; col. 23, lines 1-25).

However, Hessel et al does not specifically disclose the feature of a control logic having an input coupled to the output of the comparator, and a first tuning output coupled to the tuning input of the first filter and a second tuning output coupled to the tuning input of the second filter.

On the other hand, Coppola, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a filter network having the capability of establishing multiple, tunable notch frequencies. A notch filter path is established for each notch frequency and includes a bandpass filter and inverter. A filter path for each undesired spectrum connects to the input terminal and includes a passive RF bandpass filter at one of the different frequencies for receiving the input signal. An inverter shifts the phase of the bandpass filter output by substantially 180 degrees. A combiner connects to the output for receiving signals from the input terminal and each of the notch filter paths in parallel to produce the filtered output at the output terminal. Furthermore, a mixer combines the input signal and a signal from a variable frequency local oscillator to translate the undesired spectrum into the pass

Art Unit: 2682

band of the bandpass filter. An inverter receives the output from the bandpass filter. A second mixer combines the frequency from the inverter and the local oscillator frequency to translate the inverted spectrum from the bandpass filter to the frequency of the undesired spectrum (col. 2, line 49- col. 3, line 44; col. 4, line 27- col. 6, line 65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Coppola to the system of Hessel in order to provide a notch frequency filter that operates over a wide frequency range with optimal performance.

Regarding claim 48, Hessel et al as modified discloses a circuit (figs 8-9), wherein the first and second filters each comprises a polyphase filter (col. 11, lines 1-49; col. 23, lines 1-25; col. 25, line 59- col. 26, line 21).

Regarding claim 49, Hessel et al as modified discloses a circuit (figs 8-9), wherein the first and second filters each comprises a notch filter (col. 10, line 60- col. 11, line 35; col. 21, line 52- col. 22, line 29).

Regarding claim 54, Hessel et al discloses a method (figs 8-9) comprising: providing a reference signal to first and second digitally tunable filters (169 of fig. 8, 172 I and 172 Q of fig. 8; col. 10, line 60- col. 11, line 12; col. 2, lines 3-53; col. 11, lines 8-49; col. 6, lines 6-35; col. 9, line 8-31; col. 10, lines 20-50; col. 23, lines 1-25).

However, Hessel et al does not specifically disclose the step of digitally tuning the first and second filters as a function of a first parameter of the filtered reference signal output from the first filter and a second parameter of the filtered reference signal output from the second filter.

On the other hand, Coppola, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a filter network having the capability of establishing multiple, tunable notch frequencies. A notch filter path is established for each notch frequency and includes a bandpass filter and inverter. A filter path for each undesired spectrum connects to the input terminal and includes a passive RF bandpass filter at one of the different frequencies for receiving the input signal. An inverter shifts the phase of the bandpass filter output by substantially 180 degrees. A combiner connects to the output for receiving signals from the input terminal and each of the notch filter paths in parallel to produce the filtered output at the output terminal. Furthermore, a mixer combines the input signal and a signal from a variable frequency local oscillator to translate the undesired spectrum into the pass band of the bandpass filter. An inverter receives the output from the bandpass filter. A second mixer combines the frequency from the inverter and the local oscillator frequency to translate the inverted spectrum from the bandpass filter to the frequency of the undesired spectrum (col. 2, line 49- col. 3, line 44; col. 4, line 27- col. 6, line 65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Coppola to the system of Hessel in order to provide a notch frequency filter that operates over a wide frequency range with optimal performance.

Regarding claim 55, Hessel et al as modified discloses a method (figs 8-9) wherein the first and second filters each comprises a polyphase filter (col. 11, lines 1-49; col. 23, lines 1-25; col. 25, line 59- col. 26, line 21).

Regarding claim 56, Hessel et al as modified discloses a method (figs 8-9) wherein the first and second filters each comprises a notch filter (col. 10, line 60- col. 11, line 35; col. 21, line 52- col. 22, line 29).

Regarding claim 57, Hessel et al as modified discloses a method (figs 8-9) wherein the first parameter comprises a first signal suppression and the second parameter comprises a second signal suppression (col. 7, lines 18-49; col. 15, line 43- col. 16, line 29).

Regarding claim 58, Hessel et al as modified discloses a method (figs 8-9) comprising comparing the first signal suppression to the second signal suppression, wherein tuning of the first and second filters comprises digitally tuning the first filter if the first signal suppression is lower than the second signal suppression and digitally tuning the second filter if the second signal suppression is lower than the first signal suppression (col. 15, lines 5-65; col. 16, lines 1-29; col 17, lines 1-35).

Regarding claim 59, Hessel et al as modified discloses a method (figs 8-9) wherein the tuning of the first and second filters further comprises providing a first digital word to the first filter and a second digital word to the second filter (col. 21, line 52- col. 22, line 29; col. 23, lines 1-25).

Regarding claim 61, Hessel et al as modified discloses a method (figs 8-9) wherein the first parameter comprises a first signal suppression and the second parameter comprises a second signal suppression (col. 7, lines 18-49; col. 15, line 43- col. 16, line 29).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 8-14, 21-27, 35-46, 50-53, 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hessel et al (US Patent No 6343207 B1) in view of Coppola (US Patent No 6020783) as applied to claims 1, 15, 28, 47, 54 above, and further in view of Brehmer et al (US Patent No 5283484).

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claims 8-14, Hessel and Coppola disclose everything claimed as explained above except the feature of a first resistor and a first tunable capacitor; and a second resistor and a second tunable capacitor, the control logic digitally tuning the first and second capacitors.

However, Brehmer et al discloses in figure 1, a voltage limiter that includes a resistor receiving an input signal on a first terminal and providing an output signal on a second terminal, and a capacitor connected between the second terminal of the resistor and ground. Furthermore, Brehmer shows in figure 5, a capacitor 83 which has a first terminal connected to the second terminal of resistor 81, and a second terminal connected to the second terminal of resistor 82; and transistor 85 has a source connected to the drain of transistor 84, a gate for receiving voltage PBIAS, and a drain connected to the second terminal of resistor 81. In addition, capacitor 105 has a first terminal connected to the second terminal of transmission gate 101, and a second terminal; capacitor 106 also has a first terminal connected to the second terminal of transmission gate 102, and a second terminal (figs. 1-3, fig. 5; col. 1, line 58- col. 3, line 26; col. 2, line 51-col. 4, line 32; col. 5, line 24- col. 6, line 59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Brehmer to the modified system of Coppola and Hessel in order to vary the center frequency of the filter by switching in or out the capacitors based on a four-bit binary code.

Claims 21-27 contain similar limitations addressed in claims 8-14, and therefore are rejected under a similar rationale.

Regarding claims 35-46, Hessel and Coppola disclose everything claimed as explained above except the feature of a first filter comprises a first resistor and a first tunable capacitor, and a second resistor and a second tunable capacitor, the control logic digitally tuning the first and

second capacitors; the first capacitor comprises a first tunable capacitor array and the second capacitor comprises a second tunable capacitor array; and the first and second tunable capacitor arrays each comprises a plurality of capacitors coupled in parallel, and a plurality of switches each being coupled in series to a different one of their its respective capacitors.

However, Brehmer et al discloses in figure 1, a voltage limiter that includes a resistor receiving an input signal on a first terminal and providing an output signal on a second terminal, and a capacitor connected between the second terminal of the resistor and ground. Furthermore, Brehmer shows in figure 5, a capacitor 83 which has a first terminal connected to the second terminal of resistor 81, and a second terminal connected to the second terminal of resistor 82; and transistor 85 has a source connected to the drain of transistor 84, a gate for receiving voltage PBIAS, and a drain connected to the second terminal of resistor 81. In addition, capacitor 105 has a first terminal connected to the second terminal of transmission gate 101, and a second terminal; capacitor 106 also has a first terminal connected to the second terminal of transmission gate 102, and a second terminal (figs. 1-3, fig. 5; col. 1, line 58- col. 3, line 26; col. 2, line 51-col. 4, line 32; col. 5, line 24- col. 6, line 59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Brehmer to the modified system of Coppola and Hessel in order to vary the center frequency of the filter by switching in or out the capacitors based on a four-bit binary code.

Claims 50-53, 60 contain similar limitations addressed in claims 35-46, and therefore are rejected under a similar rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 62-68 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-61 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Marceau Milord whose telephone number is 703-306-3023. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vivian C. Chin can be reached on 703-308-6739. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARCEAU MILORD

Marceau Milord

Examiner

Art Unit: 2682

Art Unit 2682

Page 16